

Quantification of Faecal Short Chain Fatty Acids by Liquid Chromatography Tandem Mass Spectrometry—Investigation of Pre-Analytic Stability

Gerhard Liebisch, Josef Ecker, Sebastian Roth, Sabine Schweizer, Veronika Öttl, Hans-Frieder Schött, Hongsup Yoon, Dirk Haller, Ernst Holler, Ralph Burkhardt and Silke Matysik.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30925749>

Materials and Methods

Reagents

Formic acid of analytical grade, acetonitrile, and isopropanol LiChrosolv were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Acetic, propionic, butyric and isobutyric acid, pyridine, *N,N*-dimethylglycine (DMG), and *N*-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-*N'*-ethylcarbodiimide (EDC) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich Chemie GmbH (Steinheim, Germany). The methanol LC-MS Chromasolv was purchased from Honeywell Riedel-de Haën (Seelze, Germany). [¹³C, D₃]-acetic acid, [D₅]-propionic acid, and [D₇]-butyric acid were from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories (Tewksbury, MA, USA). Stock solutions of the SCFAs, including the labelled compounds, were prepared in water and were stored at -80 °C.

Preparation of Human Faecal and Murine Colon Content Homogenates

The human faecal samples for the method development were obtained from healthy volunteers and were homogenized as described previously. In brief, the faecal samples were homogenized in a gentle MACS™ Dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec GmbH, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) in 70%-isopropanol. The dry weight (DW) was determined by the overnight drying of an aliquot in a vacuum centrifuge. The faeces homogenates were diluted to a concentration of 2.0 mg DW/mL. The samples were stored at -80 °C and were kept on ice during processing. For the SCFAs, an aliquot of the homogenate was centrifuged and the clear supernatant was subjected to derivatization.

The colon content from the mice were homogenized by bead beating (1.4 mm ceramic beads) using a Precellys homogenizer (Bertin Technologies, Montigny Le Bretonneux, France). The samples (10 to 30 mg weight) were homogenized in 1 mL 70%-isopropanol twice with 15 s agitation and 60 s break. Then, 300 µL of the homogenate was used to determine the DW. The dilution and further handling were identical as that described for the human samples.

Sample Preparation

Clear supernatants of the faecal and colon samples were subjected to 3-nitrophenylhydrazine (3NPH) derivatization according to the method described by Han et al., with some modifications. In brief, 50 µL of an aqueous internal standard mixture containing 100 µg/mL each of [¹³C, D₃]-acetic acid and [D₅]-propionic acid, and 500 µg/mL of [D₇]-butyric acid were added to 50 µL supernatant of faeces homogenate (2 mg DW/mL) and mixed. Then, 20 µL of 200 mM 3-nitrophenylhydrazine hydrochloride and 20 µL of 120 mM *N*-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-*N'*-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride were added and mixed for 30 min at 40 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of 200 µL of 0.1% formic acid and were used for the LC-MS/MS analysis.

Calibrators and Quality Controls

Pooled human faecal homogenates were used to prepare the matrix calibrators. For low levels (level II and III), this pool was diluted with 70%-isopropanol. High levels (level V and VI) were generated by the supplementation of SCFA from concentrated aqueous solutions. The calibration lines contained six levels in the concentration range, shown in **Figure S2A–D**. The samples from three individuals with low, medium, and high SCFAs were aliquoted and used as the quality control samples and for the method validation.

	Sample Name	Sample Type	Analyte Peak Area (counts)	Analyte Concentration	IS Peak Area (counts)	Calculated Concentration	Accuracy (%)
1	CAL_1_Eigen_07-18	Standard	1.21e+004	0.461	1.32e+006	0.387	84.0
2	CAL_2_Eigen_07-18	Standard	1.27e+005	27.3	1.31e+006	31.2	114.
3	CAL_3_Eigen_07-18	Standard	2.51e+005	60.1	1.37e+006	61.4	102.
4	CAL_4_Eigen_07-18	Standard	1.12e+006	296	1.29e+006	301	102.
5	CAL_5_Eigen_07-18	Standard	3.48e+006	1080.	1.17e+006	1040.	97.0
6	CAL_6_Eigen_07-18	Standard	6.00e+006	2540.	1.02e+006	2060.	101.




Figure S2A

	Sample Name	Sample Type	Analyte Peak Area (counts)	Analyte Concentration	IS Peak Area (counts)	Calculated Concentration	Accuracy (%)
1	CAL_1_Eigen_07-18	Standard	4.96e+003	0.430	2.48e+006	0.412	95.7
2	CAL_2_Eigen_07-18	Standard	1.69e+005	9.43	2.41e+006	10.2	108.
3	CAL_3_Eigen_07-18	Standard	3.43e+005	19.9	2.61e+006	18.9	95.1
4	CAL_4_Eigen_07-18	Standard	1.55e+006	93.4	2.32e+006	95.9	103.
5	CAL_5_Eigen_07-18	Standard	6.66e+006	466.	2.08e+006	457.	98.1
6	CAL_6_Eigen_07-18	Standard	1.10e+007	893.	1.75e+006	899.	101.




Figure S2B

	Sample Name	Sample Type	Analyte Peak Area (counts)	Analyte Concentration	IS Peak Area (counts)	Calculated Concentration	Accuracy (%)
1	CAL_1_Eigen_07-18	Standard	0.00e+000	0.0819	2.59e+006	No Peak	0.00
2	CAL_2_Eigen_07-18	Standard	9.25e+004	8.53	2.54e+006	8.56	100.
3	CAL_3_Eigen_07-18	Standard	1.92e+005	17.8	2.63e+006	17.0	95.6
4	CAL_4_Eigen_07-18	Standard	9.02e+005	84.8	2.53e+006	82.7	97.5
5	CAL_5_Eigen_07-18	Standard	4.64e+006	465.	2.35e+006	459.	98.8
6	CAL_6_Eigen_07-18	Standard	7.89e+006	879.	2.06e+006	887.	101.




Figure S2C

	Sample Name	Sample Type	Analyte Peak Area (counts)	Analyte Concentration	IS Peak Area (counts)	Calculated Concentration	Accuracy (%)
1	CAL_1_Eigen_07-18	Standard	0.00e+000	0.0761	2.59e+006	No Peak	0.00
2	CAL_2_Eigen_07-18	Standard	7.89e+004	5.99	2.54e+006	6.04	101.
3	CAL_3_Eigen_07-18	Standard	1.65e+005	12.9	2.63e+006	12.1	93.5
4	CAL_4_Eigen_07-18	Standard	7.56e+005	60.7	2.53e+006	57.2	94.2
5	CAL_5_Eigen_07-18	Standard	3.00e+006	256.	2.35e+006	245.	95.7
6	CAL_6_Eigen_07-18	Standard	5.24e+006	471.	2.06e+006	486.	103.




Figure S2D

Figure 2A-D: S2A for FA 2:0; S2B for FA 3:0; S2C for FA 4:0 and S2D for FA 3:0(2Me). Calibration lines: Typical calibration line with 6 matrix-based levels. Analyte concentrations are displayed in $\mu\text{mol}/2\text{g DW}$.

LC-MS/MS

SCFAs quantification was performed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). A 1200 series binary pump, isocratic pump, and degasser (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany) with an HTC Pal autosampler (CTC Analytics, Zwingen, Switzerland) was coupled to a hybrid triple quadrupole linear ion trap mass spectrometer API 4000 Q-Trap equipped with a Turbo V source ion spray (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany).

The SCFAs were separated using a Kinetex® 2.6 μm XB-C18, 50×2.1 mm (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA), with water as mobile phase A and acetonitrile as mobile phase B, both containing 0.1% formic acid. The gradient elution started with 10% B, with a linear increase to 20% B at 0.3 min, followed by an increase to 23% B at 2.5 min. The column was cleaned with 100% B from 2.6 to 3.0 min, and was re-equilibrated from 3.1 to 4 min with 90% A. The column flow was 500 μL at 60 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and 2 μL of the sample were injected. To minimize the contamination of the mass spectrometer, the column flow was only directed from 55 to 160 s into the mass spectrometer, using a diverter valve. Otherwise, methanol with a flow rate of 250 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$ was delivered into the mass spectrometer.

The Turbo Ion Spray source was operated in the negative ionization mode, using the following settings: ion spray voltage = -4500 V, ion source heater temperature = 450 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, source gas 1 = 55 psi, source gas 2 = 50 psi, and curtain gas setting = 25 psi. The analytes were monitored in the multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode, and the mass transitions and MS parameters are shown in **Table 1**. Quadrupoles Q1 and Q3 were operated at unit resolution.

Analyt	RT (min)	Quantifier (m/z)	Qualifier (m/z)	DP (V)	CE (V)	LoD ($\mu\text{mol/g DW}$)	Highest Calibrator ($\mu\text{mol/g DW}$)
FA 2:0	1.18	194.1 > 151.1	194.1 > 137.1	-50	-17	1.9	1020
[13C,D3]-FA 2:0	1.17	198.1 > 153.1	198.1 > 137.1	-50	-17	-	-
FA 3:0	1.63	208.1 > 165.1	208.1 > 137.1	-60	-20	0.2	446
[D5]-FA 3:0	1.61	213.1 > 170.1	213.1 > 137.1	-60	-20	-	-
FA 4:0	2.53	222.1 > 179.1	222.1 > 137.1	-60	-20	0.06	440
FA 3:0 (2Me)	2.36	222.1 > 179.1	222.1 > 137.1	-60	-20	0.03	235
[D7]-FA 4:0	2.47	229.1 > 185.1	229.1 > 137.1	-60	-20	-	-

Table 1. LC-MS/MS of the short chain fatty acid (SCFA)-3-nitrophenylhydrazones (3NPH) derivatives. Displayed are the retention time (RT), mass transitions (quantifier and qualifier ions) of analytes and stable isotope labelled internal standards, declustering potential (DP), and collision energy (CE), as well as the limit of detection (LoD) and highest calibration level for the LC-MS/MS quantification of SCFA-3NPH derivatives.

Method Validation

The method validation was performed based on the FDA and EMA guidelines on bioanalytical method validation. The limit of the limit of detection (LoD) was determined by calculation of the signal to noise (S/N) ratios, as the concentration with $S/N = 3$ for the analytes devoid of chemical background. For SCFAs with a chemical background in the blank samples, the LoD was calculated from a limit of blank (LoB) and a variation of a sample with a low concentration, as described previously (**Table S1**), as follows:

Suppl. Table 1: LoD determination

	FA 2:0	FA 3:0
Mean (blank)	1.06	0.10
SD (blank)	0.23	0.03
LoB	1.44	0.15
SD (low conc.)	0.27	0.028
LoD	1.88	0.20

$$\text{LoB} = \text{mean (blank)} + 1.645 \text{ SD (blank)} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{LoD} = \text{LoB} + 1.645 \text{ SD (low concentration sample)} \quad (2)$$

Investigation of Pre-Analytic Stability

Three healthy volunteers collected fresh stool samples and transported them to the laboratory on ice. The samples were homogenized in water using a gentle MACS™ Dissociator, as described above. The faecal homogenates were aliquoted and diluted with the same volume of water, methanol, or isopropanol, to receive 70% organic solutions for alcohol containing homogenates. The aliquots of these homogenates were frozen either immediately at $-80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, or were stored for 0.5, 1, 3, 6, 24, 72, and 168 h at room temperature or $4\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ before freezing.

Mouse Experiments

Specific pathogen free (SPF) C57BL/6 N mice were housed at $22 \pm 1\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and 50%–60% relative humidity, with a 12 h light–dark cycle. The mice were fed a chow diet (autoclaved, V1534, ssniff Spezialdiäten GmbH, Soest, Germany) ad libitum. For the antibiotic treatment, some mice were fed a chow mash containing vancomycin (0.25 g/L) and metronidazol (1 g/L) (Sigma Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) ad libitum for two days at the age of eight weeks, without any additional food (per group: male/female, 1/1). The control group received the same diet supplemented with water. The mouse experiment was performed according to the relevant ethical guidelines. The

breeding and experimental use of the mice in the facilities at the Technische Universität München (School of Life Sciences Weihenstephan) was approved by the local institution in charge.

For dietary intervention, the C57BL/6 N mice at the age of six weeks were fed for two weeks either a standard chow diet (5% grain–soybean-based crude fibre extract; V1534, ssniff) or a purified control diet with a comparable carbohydrate, fat, and protein content as chow, but with purified cellulose (5%) as the sole fibre source (autoclaved, S5745-E702, ssniff).

Statistical Analyses

IBM SPSS Statistics 25 was used for the statistical testing. The mouse experiments were analysed using a non-parametric Mann–Whitney U test.

Results

Sample Preparation and Chromatography

The aim of the current study was to develop an accurate, robust, and fast method for the quantification of SCFA (up to four C-atoms) in faecal samples by LC-MS/MS. The SCFAs were determined in an aliquot representing 100 µg DW. The derivatization of SCFAs to 3-nitrophenylhydrazones (3NPH) was performed according to the protocol described by Han et al. The derivatization reaction was stopped by the addition of formic acid. For accurate quantification, stable isotope labelled SCFAs were added to the derivatization as internal standards (ISs) for each straight chain SCFA (i.e., [¹³C,²D₃]-FA 2:0, [D₅]-FA 3:0, [D₇]-FA 4:0).

The chromatographic separation of SCFA–3NPHs was optimized in order to achieve the fast separation of isomeric butyric acid FA 4:0 and iso-butyric acid FA 3:0(2Me). A water–acetonitrile gradient was applied on a C18 core shell material. FA 4:0 and FA 3:0(2Me) eluted at 2.36 and 2.53 min showed an acceptable separation with a peak resolution $R \approx 1.4$ (Figure 1), respectively. Including column cleaning and re-equilibration, the method has a run time of 4 min.




Figure 1. Chromatogram of short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) and their internal standards. Displayed are the extracted ion chromatograms of SCFAs, and their internal standards of a representative human faecal sample.

Specificity and Matrix Effects

Here, we applied, in addition to the fragment ion m/z 137 resulting from the 3NPH-moiety of the derivatives, a fragment specific for the respective SCFAs generated most likely from a neutral loss of isocyanic acid (Table 1; Figures S1A–D for the product ion spectra).

FA 2:0




Figure S1A

FA 3:0




Figure S1B

FA 4:0




Figure S1C

FA 3:0(2Me)




Figure S1D

Figure S1A-D: Product ion spectra of SCFA-3NPH derivatives. Product ion spectra were generated in the enhanced product ion mode at a collision energy of -20 V.

As a result of their lower background level, neutral loss fragment ions were used as quantifier. The calculation of the quantifier/qualifier ion ratios permits the evaluation of specificity for individual samples. The quantifier/qualifier ratios calculated for a batch with more than 100 different human faecal samples (data not included here) showed the following variations: FA 2:0 ratio 2.31 (3.4% coefficients of variation (CV), range 91% to 108% of the mean), FA 3:0 ratio 1.02 (2.8% CV, range 95% to 115% of the mean), FA 4:0 ratio 0.59 (5.5% CV, range 97% to 110% of mean), and FA 3:0(2Me) ratio 0.40 (4.4% CV, range 81% to 113% of the mean). Moreover, the mean of these ratios closely resembles those of the authentic standards and calibrators. For the same study, the variation of the intensities of the internal standards were calculated as a surrogate for the matrix effects, as follows: [$^{13}\text{C},\text{D}_3$]-FA 2:0 (6.2% CV, range 85% to 118% of the mean), [D_5]-FA 3:0 (5.6% CV, range 82% to 113% of the mean), and [D_7]-FA 4:0 (3.0% CV, range 92% to 107% of the mean). In addition to the low variation in the IS intensities between the different samples, the intensities observed in the faecal samples were comparable to those in the IS blanks and low calibrator levels with diluted sample matrix samples (data not shown).

Calibration

Calibration lines were generated in pooled matrix samples, except for the low calibration levels, which were prepared in a diluted matrix. These calibrators were quantified using aqueous standard solutions. The quantification was performed using the respective matching stable isotope labelled IS. For branched chain FA 3:0(2Me), [D_7]-FA 4:0 was utilized as IS, which showed a retention time in-between both of the C4-isomers (**Figure 1**). The calibration lines were linear over a wide range (**Figure S2A-D**).

Limit of Detection (LoD) and Reproducibility

The frequently determination of the LoD for LC-MS/MS involves a calculation of the signal to noise (S/N) ratios. Here, S/N could be applied only for FA 4:0 (LoD 0.06 $\mu\text{mol/g DW}$) and FA 3:0(2Me) (LoD 0.03 $\mu\text{mol/g DW}$), because the background peaks are virtually absent. However, for FA 2:0 and FA 3:0, the internal standard banks exhibited background signals typically with an S/N of 5 to 7. Therefore, we decided to calculate the LoD for acetate and propionate from the variation of the internal standard blanks and the variation of a sample with a low concentration (eight-fold dilution of low QC in **Table 2**; analysis of five sample aliquots), as described by Armbruster et al. Thus, the LoDs were calculated of 1.9 and 0.20 $\mu\text{mol/g DW}$ for FA 2:0 and FA 3:0, respectively (**Table 1**).

	FA 2:0		FA 3:0		FA 4:0		FA 3:0(2Me)	
	Mean	CV	Mean	CV	Mean	CV	Mean	CV
<i>intra-day (n = 5)</i>								
low	16	5.10%	4.6	6.10%	4.2	1.90%	1.3	5.10%
medium	203	3.80%	55	2.80%	65	1.30%	12.5	2.30%
high	628	2.60%	141	4.10%	122	2.10%	11.7	1.90%
<i>inter-day (n = 6)</i>								
low	16	3.50%	4.5	6.80%	4.1	7.50%	1.4	9.60%
medium	190	5.90%	53	6.50%	62	4.70%	13.8	3.50%
high	561	3.90%	139	4.80%	116	5.80%	12.6	4.80%

Table 2. Precision of SCFA–3NPH quantification. Displayed are within-run ($n = 5$) and between-run ($n = 6$) coefficients of variations (CVs) for faecal samples with low, medium, and high SCFA concentrations. Mean concentrations are in $\mu\text{mol/g dry weight (DW)}$.

The reproducibility of the method was tested in three different human faecal samples, with different levels of SCFA that were applied as the quality controls (QCs). For all of the QCs, the intra-day variation was below 6% (**Table 2**). From day to day, the variations were all below 10%. Repeated measurement showed that analyte to IS ratio did not change after two days in a cooled autosampler (10 °C, data not shown). Thus, accurate quantification is also possible after storage of derivatized samples

SCFA Concentrations in Human Faeces

The SCFAs were determined in 22 volunteers (**Table 3**). The mean concentrations of FA 2:0 exceeded those of FA 3:0 and FA 4:0 by about three-fold, while these are about seven-fold higher than the isobutyric acid concentrations. While the variations observed between the subjects for straight chain SCFAs were about 60% CV, the isobutyrate level displayed a lower variation with about 40% CV. Similarly, the span of concentrations were lower than five-fold for FA 3:0 (2Me) compared with more than 10-fold for the straight SCFAs.

SCFA ($\mu\text{mol/g DW}$)	Mean \pm SD	Median	Min	Max
FA 2:0	399 \pm 270	341	102	1210
FA 3:0	155 \pm 97	121	19	340
FA 4:0	151 \pm 95	137	18	370
FA 3:0(2Me)	22 \pm 9	19	10	41

Table 3. SCFAs concentrations in human faeces. Displayed are the SCFAs concentrations determined for the faecal samples of 22 volunteers.

Pre-Analytic Stability in Human Faecal Samples

As microbial activity may continue after defecation, we asked whether the SCFA levels are altered by sample storage at room temperature or 4 °C. Moreover, it was tested if the potential SCFA metabolism in the faecal samples can be inhibited by the addition of organic solvents. Therefore, we homogenized the faecal samples

of three volunteers within 3 h after defecation, and prepared aliquots in water, 70% methanol, and 70% isopropanol with identical concentrations. The aliquots were stored up for to 7 days and the SCFA concentrations were quantified (**Figure 2**). Huge differences were observed between the subjects concerning the concentrations and stability of the individual SCFAs during storage. In the aqueous samples, the SCFA concentrations, especially those of acetate in subject B, rose about two-fold within six hours. For this sample, the initial FA 2:0 concentration was ~50% higher than in the methanol/isopropanol aliquots. While the SCFA concentrations typically rise during the storage of aqueous samples, long-term storage for several days may also result in a significant drop, for example, below 50% of the initial FA 2:0 concentration after three days for subject C. These changes are substantially lower in the cold. The addition of both isopropanol and methanol stabilizes the SCFA concentrations even at room temperature.




Figure 2. Pre-Analytic stability of SCFAs in human faecal samples. The homogenates of the faecal samples from three human donors, prepared either in water, 70% methanol, or 70% isopropanol, were kept for the indicated time at room temperature (panel A) or at 4 °C (panel B).

SCFA in the Colon Content of Mice

In a further application of our method, we first asked whether the gut luminal SCFA levels can be linked with the microbial SCFA producers in the gut (i.e., Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes). Therefore, the mice were treated for two days with a combination of vancomycin and metronidazole (VM), before they obtained a regular chow diet without antibiotics for additional 2 or 12 days. We found that the VM treatment significantly decreased the concentrations of all analysed SCFAs by more than 85% compared with the controls (**Figure 3**). After the removal of the antibiotics, the original SCFA levels were restored.




Figure 3. SCFA levels in the colon content of SPF mice treated with antibiotics. Specific pathogen-free mice were treated for two days with a combination of vancomycin and metronidazole (antibiotics). After treatment, the mice obtained a regular chow diet without antibiotics for an additional 2 or 12 days. The colon content was analysed at the indicated time points (n = 6 each data point). For three samples with antibiotic treatment, the (day 2) FA 4:0 and FA 3:0 (2Me) concentrations were below limit of detection LoD; for these samples, two-thirds of the LoD were imputed.

In the second application, we asked if the gut luminal SCFA levels can be associated with the type of dietary fibre source. The mice were fed either a chow diet containing grain–soybean-based crude fibre extract (5%), or an experimental control diet with a carbohydrate, fat, and protein content comparable to chow, but with 5% purified cellulose instead of crude fibre. Refined cellulose is practically non-degradable and non-fermentable by gut microbiota. As expected, the concentrations of all of the straight chain SCFA levels dropped by more than 50% in the mice fed the cellulose-containing diet compared with the chow diet (**Figure 4**). Interestingly, the isobutyric acid concentration increased almost two-fold in the mice fed a non-degradable and -fermentable fibre source. However, because of the high variations, only the changes that reached FA 3:0 were of significance.




Figure 4. SCFA levels in the colon content of the SPF mice fed a diet containing a non-degradable and -fermentable fibre source. Specific pathogen-free mice were fed for two weeks either a chow diet containing a grain–soybean-based crude fibre extract (5%), or an experimental control diet with 5% purified cellulose instead of crude fibre. The SCFA concentrations were analysed in the colon content ($n = 6$ each group); ** $p < 0.01$.

Discussion

Here, we present a fast and reproducible method for the quantification of SCFAs for up to four carbon atoms using the LC-MS/MS of 3NPH-derivatives. It is well known that accurate quantification requires the use of IS, preferably of the stable isotope labelled analyte. Some methods apply labelled derivatization agents, like $^{13}\text{C}_6$ -aniline or $^{13}\text{C}_6$ -3NPH, to generate labelled SCFA derivatives. Typically, the labelled SCFA derivatives were spiked to derivatize the samples as IS. Chan et al. used labelled SCFA derivatives to generate calibration lines. A drawback of such methods is that the derivatization efficiency of the individual sample could not be monitored. Here, stable isotope labelled SCFAs were added prior to the derivatization in order to control the entire sample preparation. Highly-labelled SCFAs were utilized to prevent the isotopic overlap of analyte and IS. Moreover, their high mass shift also permits application in tracer experiments using ^{13}C -labeling (e.g., to trace the synthesis of fatty acids from acetate).

Similar signal intensities of IS in the samples with and without a matrix, as well as low signal variations between the different samples, provide substantial evidence that the matrix effects are low in the present method. The specificity of the method could be demonstrated by the calculation of the ratios of the quantifier and qualifier ions. The determination of LoD for FA 2:0 and 3:0 needs consideration for their significant background levels. A similar background could also be observed for main long chain fatty acids like FA 16:0 and 18:0. However, the SCFA levels observed for both the human and mouse studies are significantly above the LoDs of the present method. The high reproducibility, at a broad range of SCFA concentrations, and the short run time of only 4 min, make this method a valuable tool for large scale studies.

The SCFA concentrations were normalized here to the DW determined in an aliquot of the homogenized sample. It is well known that the water content of the faeces may vary substantially (normal stool about 75%), especially when diarrheic samples (>85%) are included. As we also aim for the application of this method in a disease-related context, the dilution effects need to be addressed, as shown for the application of faecal elastase in patient diagnosis. Therefore, samples were adjusted to 2mg DW/mL similar to that described for the sterol/stanol quantification. As the reported SCFA concentrations in the literature are largely related to the wet weight, we multiplied these concentrations by four to get a concentration related to the DW. This factor is based on normal stools with a mean water content of 75%, or on data showing a median faecal wet mass production of 128 g/cap/day, with a median dry mass of 29 g/cap/day. So, we recalculated the mean SCFA concentrations of

the human faecal samples reported in previous studies to $\mu\text{mol/g DW}$, as follows: Han et al., 6 subjects determined by LC-MS/MS (FA 2:0—138, FA 3:0—38, FA 4:0—12, FA 3:0 (2Me)—25); Hoverstad et al., 20 subjects determined by vacuum distillation and subsequent gas chromatography (FA 2:0—150, FA 3:0—50, FA 4:0—50, FA 3:0 (2Me)—9); Gardana et al., 40 subjects determined by LC-HRMS (FA 2:0—170, FA 3:0—68, FA 4:0—48, FA 3:0(2Me)—8); and Garcia-Villalba et al., 8 subjects determined by GC-MS (FA 2:0—127, FA 3:0—28, FA 4:0—28, FA 3:0(2Me)—6). The mean concentrations observed here, were about two- to three-fold higher, which may either be related to the analysed sample collective and/or to a bias introduced by assumption of the water content. The ratio of SCFA concentrations typically about 3:1:1:0.15 for FA 2:0/FA 3:0/FA 4:0/FA 3:0(2Me) determined in most of the previous studies fits to our data and to SCFA level detected in the large intestine.

To achieve accurate concentrations, pre-analytic stability of analytes needs to be evaluated. This is of special importance for metabolically highly active specimen like faecal samples. Gratton et al. investigated the faecal metabolome using $^1\text{H NMR}$ spectroscopy, and recommended the transportation of samples on ice, because an increase in the SCFA concentrations was observed within a few hours at room temperature. Interestingly, the freezing of samples and their subsequent storage at room temperature resulted in decreased SCFA concentrations. Here, we evaluated the storage effects in three different subjects, and realized huge differences between the subjects concerning the changes during storage. Changes were smaller at lower temperatures and could be prevented by the addition of organic solvents like methanol or isopropanol. These data confirm the data of Torii et al., demonstrating that addition of 70% ethanol stabilizes faecal SCFA concentrations at room temperature. As the methanol and isopropanol did not show significant differences in stabilizing the concentrations of SCFA, we prefer the addition of isopropanol directly upon the collection of stool samples. Furthermore, the addition of isopropanol to the faecal samples decreased the microbiological hazards to the laboratory staff dealing with the analysis of those samples.

Finally, the method was applied to investigate the colon content of the mice collected in a previous study investigating the effect of intestinal microbial colonization on hepatic lipid metabolism. The high variation of the SCFA level between the mice may be related to the variability in the amount and distribution of the colon content. Both treatment with antibiotics and dietary intervention with a non-degradable and -fermentable fibre source resulted, as expected, in a decrease of SCFA concentrations. The finding that the isobutyric acid levels were dropped only when the mice were treated with antibiotics, but not in the cellulose-containing dietary intervention, can be explained by its generation from branched-chain amino acids, but not dietary fibre.